Portfolio Holder Decision Meeting

AGENDA

DATE: Tuesday 23 August 2011

TIME: 2.15 pm

VENUE: Committee Room 5,

Harrow Civic Centre

MEMBERSHIP

Leader of the Council

Contact: Miriam Wearing, Senior Democratic Services Officer

Tel: 020 8424 1542 miriam.wearing@harrow.gov.uk



AGENDA - PART I

PROCEDURAL

1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST AND DECLARATIONS OF ANY DISPENSATIONS GRANTED BY THE STANDARDS COMMITTEE

To receive declarations of personal or prejudicial interests, arising from business to be transacted.

2. MINUTES (Pages 1 - 6)

The minutes of the meetings held at 10.00 am and 12.00 pm on 28 March 2011 be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

3. PETITIONS

To receive petitions (if any) submitted by members of the public/Councillors under the provisions of Executive Procedure Rule 15 (Part 4D of the Constitution).

4. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

To receive questions (if any) from local residents or organisations under the provisions of Executive Procedure Rule 16 (Part 4D of the Constitution).

5. MATTERS REFERRED TO THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER

In accordance with the provisions contained in Executive Procedure Rule 25 (Part 4F of the Constitution).

6. REPORTS FROM THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE OR SUB-COMMITTEES

In accordance with the provisions contained in Executive Procedure Rule 25 (Part 4D) of the Constitutuion.

GENERAL

7. OUTER LONDON FUND ROUND ONE (Pages 7 - 16)

Report of the Corporate Director, Place Shaping.

8. ANY OTHER URGENT BUSINESS

Which cannot otherwise be dealt with.

AGENDA - PART II - NIL

Publication of decisions	Wednesday 24 August 2011
Deadline for Call in	5.00 pm on 31 August 2011
Decisions implemented if not Called in	1 September 2011





PORTFOLIO HOLDER DECISION MEETING

MINUTES

28 MARCH 2011

Chairman: * Councillor Bill Stephenson

- * Denotes Member present
- 13. Declarations of Interest and Declarations of Any Dispensations Granted by the Standards Committee

RESOLVED: To note that

- (1) there were no declarations of interests;
- (2) there were no declarations of any dispensations granted by the Standards Committee.

14. Minutes

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held on 10 August 2010 be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

15. Petitions and Public Questions

RESOLVED: To note that no petitions or public questions had been received.

16. Matters referred to the Leader of the Council

RESOLVED: To note that no matters had been referred to the Leader of the Council for reconsideration

17. Reports from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee or Sub-Committees (if any)

RESOLVED: To note that no reports had been received.

RESOLVED ITEMS

18. Managed Service Contracts for Housing Benefits Data Entry and Revenues Bill Printing Services

In accordance with the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the Leader of the Council considered a report of the Interim Director of Finance on the Managed Service Contracts for Housing Benefits Data Entry and Revenues Bill Printing Services. The report was admitted late to the agenda because it would have been prejudicial to the best interests of the Council to wait until the next scheduled meeting of the Executive on 7 April 2011 due to the need to have contracts in place for 1 April 2011.

An officer introduced the report, outlining the current and proposed processes for e-capture and print managed services for Revenues and Housing Benefits. It was noted that there were very few providers of these specialised services and a short procurement process had been undertaken via Buying Solutions, which had complied with procurement procedures and legislation. Following the capability assessment through the Buying Solutions website, only one company capable of meeting the Council's requirements responded. The Business Case was set out in Appendix 1 to the report.

In response to a question, an officer advised that the 'up front' costs would be met over the term of the contract. If performance targets were not met, discounts to the contracts would be triggered.

RESOLVED: That the award of contracts to Northgate Information Solutions UK Ltd for the provision of e-capture managed services and Revenues/Housing Benefits managed print services be approved.

Reason for Recommendation: To authorise the award of contracts for the provision of e-capture managed services and Revenues/Housing Benefits managed print services.

(Note: The meeting, having commenced at 10.00 am, closed at 10.05 am).

(Signed) COUNCILLOR BILL STEPHENSON Chairman

-6-



PORTFOLIO HOLDER DECISION MEETING

MINUTES

28 MARCH 2011

Chairman: * Councillor Mitzi Green

* Denotes Member present

19. Declarations of Interest and Declarations of Any Dispensations Granted by the Standards Committee

RESOLVED: To note that the following interest was declared:

Agenda Item 7 – The provision of a Parent Partnership Service
Councillor Mitzi Green declared a personal interest in that she was a
Governor of Kenmore Park Infant and Junior School. She would remain in the
room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

20. Minutes

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held at 10.00 am on 28 March 2011 be deferred to the next meeting.

21. Petitions and Public Questions

RESOLVED: To note that no petitions or public questions had been received.

22. Matters referred to the Executive Member

RESOLVED: To note that no matters had been referred to the Executive Member for reconsideration.

23. Reports from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee or Sub-Committees

RESOLVED: To note that no reports had been received.

RESOLVED ITEMS

24. The Provision of a Parent Partnership Service

In accordance with the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the Portfolio Holder for Children's Services considered a report of the Corporate Director, Children's Services on the outcome of the tender evaluation for the provision of a parent partnership service. The report was admitted late to the agenda because to delay a decision would be prejudicial to the best interest of the Council.

At its meeting on 10 February 2011, Cabinet had received a report on the need to reduce the cost base of the service, and had considered that it could not be achieved by simply reducing the staffing level of the service without adversely affecting the quality and standard of the service provided. It had further considered that the provision of the service by an external provider would have the advantage of making the service more fully independent than it was currently. Cabinet had resolved to delegate, to the Portfolio Holder for Children's Services, the decision to award a contract that was the most advantageous in accordance with the tender evaluation criteria.

In response to a question, it was noted that the contract required the provision of a local base in Harrow. The Council would help the provider secure an appropriate base either through its own facilities or through its contacts with the voluntary sector.

An officer reported that, whilst it had been intended that the contract commence on 1 April 2011, issues in connection with the tendering process and procurement queries had resulted in a delay. Discussions with the provider would take place regarding an appropriate start date, hopefully as soon as possible in the summer term. The service was still in operation and transitional arrangements would be made.

RESOLVED: That ContinYou be appointed to manage a Parent Partnership Service from 1 April 2011.

Reason: It was the most economically advantageous tender.

25. **Exclusion of the Press and Public**

RESOLVED: That the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item for the reasons set out below:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Title</u>	Reason
10.	The Provision of a Parent Partnership Service - Appendix	Information under paragraph 3 (contains information relating to

the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information).

26. The Provision of a Parent Partnership Service

The Portfolio Holder received a confidential appendix to the report of the Corporate Director, Children's Services in this regard, which set out the tender evaluation report.

An officer responded to questions from the Portfolio Holder regarding the appendix.

RESOLVED: That the appendix be noted.

(Note: The meeting, having commenced at 12.00 pm, closed at 12.05 pm).

(Signed) COUNCILLOR MITZI GREEN Chairman

This page is intentionally left blank

Ref PHD 008-11

SUBJECT: Outer London Fund Round

One

Responsible Officer: Andrew Trehern, Corporate Director, Place

Shaping

Portfolio Holder: Cllr Bill Stephenson, Leader of the Council,

Portfolio Holder Finance and Business

Transformation

Key Decision: Yes

Urgent/Non Urgent: Non Urgent

Power to be Portfolio Holder Responsibilities (Allocation

exercised: of Responsibilities) Paragraph 3 of

Delegated Powers of Portfolio Holders,

Appendix to the Executive Procedure Rules

Part 4D of the constitution.

Exempt: No

Decision subject to

Call-in:

Yes

Enclosures: None



Section 1 – Summary and Recommendations

This report sets out the rationale for entering into an Agreement with the Mayor of London to secure money from Round One of the Outer London Fund to support Harrow Town Centre and the District Centres.

Recommendations:

The Leader of the Council is requested to:

Agree that Harrow Council enters into a Funding Agreement with the Mayor of London in respect of Round One of the Outer London Fund. (This will be subject to a successful bid).

Reason: (For recommendation)

The Outer London Fund was announced on the 13th June. It provides a means for Harrow Council to secure funds to meet its corporate priority of "Supporting our Town Centre, our local shopping centres and businesses".

An application for funds is endorsed by the Portfolio Holder for Planning, Development and Enterprise. The application for Round One of the Outer London Fund closes on the 19th July. The announcement of the results of the bidding process will be made in August.

Successful applicants will be expected to commence delivery of Round One projects immediately and end delivery in 2011/12. Given the short turnaround, the opportunity to take this decision to Cabinet has passed. The application process for Round Two bids will be announced on the 19th July, the closing date is early September, and the results of applications will be announced in November. This will provide sufficient time to take a recommendation to Cabinet to enter into an Agreement with the Mayor for Round Two funding (subject to a successful application).

Section 2 – Report

The Mayor of London's Outer London fund is designed to support the development of vibrant centres, with economic and cultural energy, in areas of Outer London, with a particular focus on those centres that will not benefit in a major way from Crossrail and the Olympics. The fund is £50m for three years ending March 2014. Bids are invited across two rounds for a share of a fund of £50m. £10m will be allocated in Round One (to be spent by March 2012) and £40m in Round Two. Round One Bids, for up to £500k for each place, must be submitted in full by 18th July, and an expression of interest for Round Two must be submitted by the same date. Guidance for Round Two Bids will be published on 19th July. Bidding for the fund will meet the Corporate Priority of

 Supporting our Town Centre, our local shopping centres and businesses

Options considered

Option A – Bid for funding to support Corporate Priorities

Option B – Do nothing and miss the opportunity to bring new investment into Harrow.

Financial Implications

Set out the financial implications of the proposed decision, in particular:

• The total revenue and/or capital cost in current and future years

Securing new investment will have a positive impact on revenue from 2011/12 – 2013/14. There will be no cost to the local authority. Entering into an Agreement with the Mayor (subject to a successful bid) will not have a revenue or capital cost to Harrow Council's budget.

The total revenue and capital funding in current and future years

The total revenue and capital funding that could be secured is shown below. The breakdown of funding between revenue and capital will be dependent on allocations from the Outer London Fund. Round One funding needs to be spent in 2011/12 and therefore the focus is revenue.

Round One

Harrow Metropolitan Centre £500k North Harrow up to £500k Where there is an intention to fund the proposal from savings elsewhere in the budget, set out exactly how the savings will be achieved and whether they are ongoing

There is no intention to fund the proposal from savings.

 The potential implications for the Medium Term Budget Strategy (MTBS) if there is insufficient provision in the current budget – you should not make any assumptions about the outcome of future budget rounds

"The bid will not have an implication on the MTBS. Funding secured will be new money to Harrow."

• Other financial issues such as tax, income collection etc

None.

• Wider resource implications (staff, accommodation, IT etc)

Management and Administration costs can be included in the bid for funds. This would include Programme Manager, Project Officer and administrative support.

- The proposed procurement route where relevant
 - "Existing corporate contracts will be utilised events, public realm, communications."

Performance Issues

In this section of the report you should consider the following:

1. What Council priorities are impacted and how?

"Supporting our Town Centre, our local shopping centres and businesses."

2. Which performance indicators (local or national) will be impacted by the proposal?.

"Local: Town Centre Vacancy rates."

3. What is the current performance of those indicators? State actual, target and polarity.

"Percentage of Total Vacant Frontage in North Harrow in the AMR for 2009/ 2010 is 23.09%

The percentage of Total Vacant Frontage in North Harrow has grown year on year from 2007/8 to 2009/10. The rate of increase in vacant frontages

between 2007/8 to 2009/10 was 36.2%. The rate of increase between 2008/9 and 2009/10 was 32.78%. (Source AMR)

The target will be to halt the increase in Total Vacant frontages, and bring back empty shops to use by April 2012.

Percentage of Total Vacant Frontage in Harrow Town Centre in the 2009/10 AMR was 7.77%

The percentage of Total Vacant Frontage in Harrow Town Centre has grown year on year from 2007/8 to 2009/10 was 38.35%. The rate of increase in vacant frontages between 2008/9 and 2009/10 was 27.67%. (Source AMR) The target will be to halt the increase in Total Vacant frontages, and bring back empty shops to use by April 2012.

A low value indicates good performance. There is a drive to reduce the percentage of empty shops, measured by vacant frontage. A percentage target has not been set. "

4. Will the proposal require the performance target(s) to be changed? If that is not yet possible, when will the new target(s) be set?

Subject to the level of funding secured, percentage targets could be set.

5. What impact, positive or negative, will the proposal have on assessments of the Council by external regulators (such as Ofsted, Care Quality Commission, Audit Commission)?

"N/A"

- 6. What aspects of the Comprehensive Area Assessment Organisational Assessment will be affected, e.g.
 - Managing finances
 - Governing the business
 - Managing resources
 - Performance management?

"N/A"

7. What impact is there on resident outcomes that are delivered either by partners or by joint working with partners?

Securing new investment to deliver events and undertake public realm improvements, will attract more shoppers to the Town Centre and district centres will safeguard jobs and create new jobs (when empty shop units are occupied).

8. What would the effect be, in relation to all the above, if the proposal did **not** go ahead?

The staging of projects funded by the OLF to increase footfall, spend and investment in Harrow Town Centre and North Harrow would not go ahead.

Environmental Impact

Improving and promoting local shopping facilities, town centre and district centre will promote sustainability by reducing journey times to shops and thereby reducing CO2 emissions. Harrow Town Centre suffers from competition from Watford and Brent Cross, both of which require longer journey times and increased CO2 emissions. The bid to the OLF for Round one includes a planting project; the bid for Round Two will (dependent on guidelines) support the implementation of the Green Grid.

Risk Implications

Risk included on Directorate risk register? No

Separate risk register in place? Yes

"A Risk Register will be developed and implemented. The content of the Risk Register will be dependent on the level of funding secured and projects that will be funded. A Programme Definition Document for the OLF including Risk is agreed with Programme Management."

A Programme manager will apply project management, using Verto to automate its operation and reporting. This will allow to the use of the Council's mandatory project management processes where appropriate and reduce the effort needed by project managers in reporting processes and completing templates. It will also produce the management information required to deliver an effective and transparent decision making process.

A Programme Board will be established which will provide overall direction and control and has final accountability for the implementation of the programme and its benefits. It will represent key stakeholders responsible for delivering the different strands within the overall Outer London Fund Round One Programme. "

Equalities implications

Was an Equality Impact Assessment carried out? Yes

"The delivery of projects funded through the OLF will include a Festival Programme which will promote good relations between different equality groups. The programme will also provide enterprise and training to businesses and workless residents which will promote socio economic opportunity."

Corporate Priorities

Please identify which corporate priority the report incorporates and how:

Securing funding will help Harrow Council deliver its corporate priority of "Supporting our town centre, our local shopping centres and businesses". This will done through the delivery of the following proposed projects:

- Festivals: A Sense of Harrow
- The promotion of Harrow as a shopping destination
- Public Realm improvements
- Improving Town Centre and Programme Management Capacity
- Business and Enterprise Development, with a focus on training
- Pump priming District Centre Partnership
- Marketing and Promotion: Events, Website, Directory, Community Notice Boards
- Business support: visual merchandising (in store and window dressing)
- Pop up shop test trading.

Section 3 - Statutory Officer Clearance

Name: Kanta Hirani Date: 29 July 2011	V	on behalf of the Chief Financial Officer			
Name: Matthew Adams Date: 29 July 2011	√	on behalf of the Monitoring Officer			
Section 4 – Performance Officer Clearance					
Name: Liz Defries Date: 29 July 2011	√	on behalf of the Divisional Director Partnership, Development and Performance			

Section 5 – Environmental Impact Officer Clearance

		on behalf of the
Name:Andrew Baker	$\sqrt{}$	Divisional Director
		(Environmental
Date: 28 July 2011		Services)

Section 6 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact: Mark Billington, Head of Economic Development and Research.

Background Papers:

- 1. Briefing: Update Mayor's Outer London Fund: London Town Centre Initiative. 14 June 2011.
- 2. London Town Centre Renewal Initiative 27 May 2011.

Position Divisional Director - Planning

Name (print) Stephen Kelly

Date: 8 August 2011

For Portfolio Holder/Leader

* I do agree to the decision proposed	
* I do not agree to the decision proposed	
* Please delete as appropriate	
Notification of personal interests (if any):	
(Note: if you have a prejudicial interest you should not take this decision)	
Additional comments made by and/or options considered by the Portfolio Holder	,
Signature:	
Portfolio Holder	
Date:	

Call-In Waived by the Chairman of Overview and Scrutiny Committee **NOT APPLICABLE**

This page is intentionally left blank